Brexit: An Historical Perspective

Nicholas Crafts



BUSINESS SCHOOL





UK Relative Economic Performance

- Very disappointing pre-1973
- Subsequent improvement
- Quite good 1995-2007
- NB: supply-side policies under national control were much more important for these outcomes than EU membership

UK Y/HW Growth (% per year)

(Crafts, 2020; The Conference Board, 2020)

1700-1760	0.25	1873-1913	1.06
1760-1780	-0.01	1924-1937	0.70
1780-1800	0.46	1950-1973	3.74
1800-1830	0.29	1973-1995	2.18
1830-1856	1.11	1995-2007	2.08
1856-1873	2.06	2007-2019	0.23

Comparative Y/HW Growth (% per year)

The Conference Board (2020)

	France	Germany	UK	USA
1950-1973	5.29	5.91	3.74	2.57
1973-1995	2.67	2.86	2.18	1.27
1995-2007	1.56	1.69	2.08	2.65
2007-2019	0.65	0.65	0.23	1.30

Relative Y/HW (UK = 100)

(The Conference Board, 2020; OECD, 2020)

	1950	1973	1995	2007	2019	2019*
France	62.5	110.9	124.8	120.2	128.1	115.9
Germany	54.5	110.1	129.2/ 104.6	121.6	126.5	113.3
USA	151.6	147.1	122.3	120.9	130.1	122.5

Golden-Age UK Policy Errors

- It's supply-side policy that matters through incentive to invest and to innovate
- Policy was constrained by pursuit of full employment through wage restraint based on trade-union cooperation
- Key policy concerns include: taxation, industrial relations, industrial policy, nationalization, protectionism

Traditional Criticisms of Postwar British Industry

- Weak and incompetent management
- Debilitating industrial relations
- Seriously inefficient use of inputs
- NB: these were all nurtured by inadequate competition in product markets (Nickell et al.,1997; Prais,1981) interacting with the historical institutional legacy

EU Entry: Impact on UK GDP

- Based on gravity model in Baier et al. (2008), UK trade with EU members rose by 33.0% and total UK trade increased by 17.1%
- Using lower-bound elasticity of impact of more trade on real GDP in Feyrer (2019), increase in Y/P = 8.6% (similar methodology to HMT, 2003)

NB: synthetic counterfactuals method gives similar result (Campos et al., 2019)

Context of UK Entry

- Joining EU in 1973 came after a long period of protectionism and relative economic decline
- Entry was an important part of increasing competition in UK and an important antidote to bad management and debilitating industrial relations (Crafts, 2012); it was part of the Thatcher Experiment
- Explains where a lot of the 8.6% might have come from as (partly) anticipated by Williamson (1971)

EU Membership

- UK joined EEC in 1973 and was subsequently subject to EU rules on state aid and competition policy
- These provided a 'commitment technology'
 which reduced UK policymakers' discretion and
 increasingly they precluded selective industrial
 policy and a 'public-interest' criterion in mergers
 policy
- However, horizontal industrial policy which addresses market failures was allowed

Increased Competition and Productivity Performance

- Increases in competition correlated with 1980s productivity growth at sectoral level (Haskel, 1991)
- Openness promoted TFP growth in catch-up model for manufacturing sectors post-1970 (Proudman & Redding, 1998)
- Single Market shock improved TFP in plants exposed to agency problems (Griffith, 2001), raised patenting in close-to-frontier industries (Aghion et al., 2009)
- Entry and exit accounted for 25% Y/L growth in 1980-5 manufacturing rising to 40% in 1995-2000 (Crisculo et al., 2004)

Increased Competition: Effects via Industrial Relations

- During the 1980s and 1990s, increased competition reduced union membership, union wage mark-ups and union effects on productivity (Brown et al., 2008; Metcalf, 2002)
- Surge of productivity growth in unionized firms in 1980s as organizational change took place under pressure of competition (Machin & Wadhwani, 1989)
- De-recognition of unions in face of increased foreign competition had strong effect on productivity growth in late 1980s (Gregg et al., 1993)
- Multi-unionism in an industry reduced TFP growth by 0.75pp from the 1950s through the 1970s but had no effect thereafter (Bean & Crafts, 1996)

Is Brexit 1973 in Reverse?

 Estimates of medium-term impact on productivity levels suggest that it may be

BUT

Industrial relations reformed
 Market for corporate control improved
 Competition policy stronger
 Trade costs lower

Reasons to Worry

- Brexit is not a route to better supply-side policy but may be the opposite
- Entry and exit rates have fallen (Lui et al., 2020) while industrial concentration and mark-ups have risen (CMA, 2020)
- Industrial policy is highly exposed to government failure as we saw in the 1970s (Wren, 1996) and is no longer subject to EU rules

Subsidy Control in TCA

- Six principles and subsidy control authority: implementation up to UK
- Weaker than EU state aid but stronger than WTO rules
- Desirable that design of SCA stops return to 1970s; independence, scope and enforcement powers matter
- Consultation outcome not yet known

The View from No. 10

 'We will back British business by introducing a new state aid regime which makes it faster and easier for the government to intervene to protect jobs when an industry is in trouble' (Johnson, 2019)

'Red-Wall' Votes Matter!

Conclusions

- EU entry improved supply-side policy and raised productivity
- Key supply-side policy reforms (education, innovation and infrastructure) possible anyway
- Brexit loosens constraints on return to bad policies as well as reducing productivity
- Design of subsidy control authority a litmus test